arXiv:1403.0251v1 [math.MG] 2 Mar 2014

Regular Polygonal Complexes of Higher Ranks in $\mathbb{E}^3$

*

Egon Schulte
Northeastern University
Department of Mathematics
Boston, MA 02115, USA
schulte@neu.edu

March 4, 2014

Abstract

The paper establishes that the rank of a regular polygonal complex in $\mathbb{E}^3$ cannot exceed 4, and that the only regular polygonal complexes of rank 4 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ are the eight regular 4-apeirotopes in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

Keywords: polygonal complex; abstract polytopes; regularity.

1 Introduction

A geometric polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$ of rank n in Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3$ is a discrete incidence structure made up from finite or infinite, planar or skew polygons, assembled in a careful fashion into families of geometric polygonal complexes of smaller ranks. As combinatorial objects they are incidence complexes of rank n with polygons as 2-faces, that is, abstract polygonal complexes of rank n (see [3, 13]). A geometric polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$ is *regular* if $\mathcal{K}$ has a flag-transitive geometric symmetry group.

The regular polygonal complexes of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ are completely known. They comprise fourty-eight regular polyhedra as well as twenty-five regular complexes which are not polyhedra. The regular polyhedra were discovered by Grünbaum [6] and Dress [4, 5], and are described in detail in McMullen & Schulte [10, Ch. 7E] and McMullen [8]. The regular complexes which are not polyhedra were recently classified in Pellicer & Schulte [11, 12].

*Appeared in *Model. Anal. Inform. Sist.* 20 (2013), 103–110.

The present paper proves that a regular polygonal complex in $\mathbb{E}^3$ cannot have rank larger than 4, and that the only regular polygonal complexes of rank 4 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ are the eight regular 4-apeirotopes in $\mathbb{E}^3$ described in McMullen & Schulte [10, Ch. 7F].

2 Incidence complexes

Following [3, 13], an *incidence complex of rank* n, or simply an *n-complex*, is a partially ordered set $\mathcal{K}$ with a strictly monotone rank function with range $\{-1, 0, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying the following conditions. The elements of rank j are called the *j-faces* of $\mathcal{K}$, or *vertices*, *edges* and *facets* of $\mathcal{K}$ if $j = 0$, 1 or $n-1$, respectively. Two faces F and G are said to be *incident* if $F \leq G$ or $G \leq F$. Each *flag* (maximal totally ordered subset) of $\mathcal{K}$ is required to contain exactly $n+2$ faces, including a unique minimal face F_{-1} (of rank -1) and a unique maximal face F_n (of rank n) as *improper* faces. We often find it convenient to suppress the improper faces in designating flags. Two flags Φ and Ψ of $\mathcal{K}$ are called *adjacent* if they differ in exactly one face; if this face is an j-face for some j (with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$), then Φ and Ψ of $\mathcal{K}$ are *j-adjacent*. Further, we ask that $\mathcal{K}$ be *strongly flag-connected*, meaning that any two flags Φ and Ψ of $\mathcal{P}$ can be joined by a sequence of flags $\Phi = \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{l-1}, \Phi_l = \Psi$, all containing $\Phi \cap \Psi$, such that Φ_{i-1} and Φ_i are *adjacent* (differ by exactly one face) for each i. Finally, $\mathcal{K}$ has the property that if F and G are incident faces of ranks $j-1$ and $j+1$ for some j, then there are *at least two* j-faces H such that $F < H < G$.

With regards to this latter condition, note that the corresponding condition required in [3, 13] is more restrictive, in that, for each j, a fixed number k_j of j-faces H is required to lie between F and G. This stronger condition is always satisfied for highly symmetric complexes like those studied in this paper.

When F and G are two faces of a complex $\mathcal{K}$ with $F \leq G$, we call

$$G/F := \{H \mid F \leq H \leq G\}$$

a *section* of $\mathcal{K}$. We usually identify a face F with the section F/F_{-1}. For a face F we also call F_n/F the *co-face of $\mathcal{K}$ at F*, or the *vertex-figure at F* if F is a vertex.

An incidence complex $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be *regular* if its (*combinatorial*) *automorphism group* $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ is transitive on the flags.

A complex $\mathcal{K}$ is an (*abstract*) *polytope* if, for all j and all $(j-1)$-faces F and $(j+1)$-faces G with $F < G$, there are exactly *two* j-faces H such that $F < H < G$. This class of complexes has attracted a lot of attention (see [10]).

More generally, an incidence complex $\mathcal{K}$ is called an *abstract polygonal complex* if each 2-face of $\mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to (the face lattice of) a convex polygon or an (infinite) apeirogon.

For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the *k-skeleton* of a complex $\mathcal{K}$ of rank n is the incidence complex of rank $k+1$, whose faces comprise the n-face of $\mathcal{K}$ and all faces of $\mathcal{K}$ of rank less than or equal to k, with the partial order inherited from $\mathcal{K}$.

3 Geometric polygonal complexes

Our definition of a "realization" of an abstract polygonal complex is inspired by the corresponding notion for abstract polytopes (see [7, 10]).

A (faithful) *realization* of an abstract polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$, again denoted by $\mathcal{K}$, is derived inductively from a bijection β of the vertex-set of $\mathcal{K}$ into some Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}$. The vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ are mapped by β to the *vertices* of the realization. Then each 1-face of $\mathcal{K}$ can be viewed as being mapped under β to a line segment, called an *edge* of the realization, joining the images of the vertices of the 1-face under β. Moving up in rank, each 2-face of $\mathcal{K}$, which by assumption is isomorphic to a convex polygon or an apeirogon, is mapped to the finite or infinite polygon in $\mathbb{E}$, a 2-*face* of the realization, made up from the edges of the realization that are the images under β of the 1-faces of the given 2-face of $\mathcal{K}$. More generally, from the j-faces of the realization we then obtain each $(j+1)$-*face* of the realization as a family of j-faces of the realization, namely those corresponding under β to the j-faces of the underlying $(j+1)$-face of $\mathcal{K}$. Finally, then, when $j = n-1$ we arrive at the desired realization of $\mathcal{K}$ determined by β. We also call the resulting structure a *geometric polygonal complex*.

Clearly, in order for this process to produce a faithful geometric copy of the given abstract polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$ we must assume that, for each j, each $(j+1)$-face of $\mathcal{K}$ is uniquely determined by its j-faces. Throughout we will be working under this assumption. Note, however, that an abstract complex may not satisfy this assumption; the regular map $\{3,6\}_{(2,0)}$ on the 2-torus is an example.

Alternatively we can proceed more directly and define geometric polygonal complexes with less explicit reference to realizations of abstract polygonal complexes, at least for small ranks, beginning with rank 2. Here we restrict ourselves to Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3$, although similar notions carry over to realizations in higher-dimensional Euclidean spaces.

Following [6], a *finite polygon*, or an n-*gon*, in $\mathbb{E}^3$ consists of a sequence $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ of n distinct points, as well as of the line segments $(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), \ldots, (v_{n-1}, v_n), (v_n, v_1)$. A (discrete) *infinite polygon*, or *apeirogon*, in $\mathbb{E}^3$ similarly consists of an infinite sequence of distinct points $(\ldots, v_{-2}, v_{-1}, v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots)$, as well as of the line segments (v_i, v_{i+1}) for each i, such that each compact subset of $\mathbb{E}^3$ meets only finitely many line segments. In either case the points are the *vertices* and the line segments the *edges* of the polygon.

Then following [11], a *geometric polygonal complex* $\mathcal{K}$ *of rank* 3, or simply a *geometric polygonal 3-complex*, in $\mathbb{E}^3$ is a triple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ consisting of a set $\mathcal{V}$ of points, called *vertices*, a set $\mathcal{E}$ of line segments, called *edges*, and a set $\mathcal{F}$ of (finite or infinite) polygons, called *faces* (here to mean 2-*faces*), satisfying the following properties.

(a) The graph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the *edge graph* or *net* of $\mathcal{K}$, is connected.

(b) The vertex-figure of $\mathcal{K}$ at each vertex of $\mathcal{K}$ is connected. By the *vertex-figure* of $\mathcal{K}$ at a vertex v we mean the graph, possibly with multiple edges, whose vertices are the vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ adjacent to v and whose edges are the line segments (u, w), where (u, v) and (v, w) are edges of a common face of $\mathcal{K}$. (There may be more than one

such face in $\mathcal{K}$, in which case the corresponding edge (u, w) of the vertex-figure at v has multiplicity given by the number of such faces.)

(c) Each edge of $\mathcal{K}$ is contained in at least two faces of $\mathcal{K}$. (This requirement is less restrictive than the corresponding condition given in [11]. For highly symmetric complexes like those discussed here, the two conditions are equivalent.)

(d) $\mathcal{K}$ is *discrete*, in the sense that each compact subset of $\mathbb{E}^3$ meets only finitely many faces of $\mathcal{K}$.

Each geometric polygonal complex of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ gives an incidence complex of the same rank. In fact, a quicker definition would consist of saying that $\mathcal{K}$ as above consists of a triple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, which, when ordered by inclusion, gives an abstract polygonal complex of rank 3.

Proceeding with rank 4 structures, a *geometric polygonal complex* $\mathcal{K}$ *of rank* 4, or simply a *geometric polygonal 4-complex*, in $\mathbb{E}^3$ is a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{F}^0, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathcal{F}^2, \mathcal{F}^3)$ consisting of a set $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{F}^0$ of points, called *vertices*, a set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}^1$ of line segments, called *edges*, a set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^2$ of (finite or infinite) polygons, called 2-*faces*, and a set $\mathcal{F}^3$ of geometric polygonal 3-complexes, called 3-*faces*, such that $\mathcal{K}$, partially ordered by inclusion, gives an abstract polygonal complex of rank 4 (with the same rank function).

We can move up further in rank and similarly define higher rank geometric polygonal complexes in $\mathbb{E}^3$. The basic set-up is exactly the same. The j-faces of an n-complex are built from the $(j-1)$-complexes representing the $(j-1)$-faces of the j-face.

A geometric polygonal complex is a *geometric polytope*, or a *geometric polyhedron* when the rank is 3, if the underlying incidence complex is an abstract polytope. An *apeirotope* or *apeirohedron* is an infinite polytope or polyhedron, respectively.

A geometric polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$ is called (*geometrically*) *regular* if its (*geometric*) *symmetry group* $G(\mathcal{K})$ in $\mathbb{E}^3$ is transitive on the flags of $\mathcal{K}$. The symmetry group $G(\mathcal{K})$ of a polygonal complex $\mathcal{K}$ is a (generally proper) subgroup of its combinatorial automorphism group $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$. In fact, $G(\mathcal{K})$ is a flag-transitive subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ if $\mathcal{K}$ is regular. For a geometrically regular polytope, the symmetry group coincides with the full automorphism group and is necessarily simply flag-transitive. However, this is not true in general for geometrically regular polygonal complexes.

We later require the classification of the regular apeirotopes of rank 4 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ (see [10, Ch. 7F]). There are eight examples shown in the following display:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{4,3,4\} = \{\{4,3\},\{3,4\}\}, & \{\{4,6 \mid 4\},\{6,4\}_3\}; \\ \{\{\infty,3\}_6\#\{\,\},\{3,3\}\}, & \{\{\infty,4\}_4\#\{\infty\},\{4,3\}_3\}; \\ \{\{\infty,3\}_6\#\{\,\},\{3,4\}\}, & \{\{\infty,6\}_3\#\{\infty\},\{6,4\}_3\}; \\ \{\{\infty,4\}_4\#\{\,\},\{4,3\}\}, & \{\{\infty,6\}_3\#\{\infty\},\{6,3\}_4\}. \end{array}$$

For notation we refer to [10, Ch. 7F]. The eight examples fall into four pairs of "Petrie-duals" (listed in the same row), where the apeirotopes in each pair share the same 2-skeleton. The familiar cubical tessellation $\{4,3,4\}$ and its Petrie-dual listed in the first

row both have (finite) square faces. All other apeirotopes have (infinite planar) zigzag faces.

4 Groups of regular incidence complexes

The structure of a regular incidence complex $\mathcal{K}$ can be completely described in terms of a well-behaved system of generating subgroups of any flag-transitive subgroup Λ of the full automorphism group $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{K}$ (see [13]).

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a regular incidence complex of positive rank n, and let Λ be any flag-transitive subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\Phi := \{F_0, \ldots, F_{n-1}\}$ be a (fixed) *base flag* of $\mathcal{K}$, where F_i denotes the i-face in Φ for each i. For $\Omega \subseteq \Phi$, let Λ_Ω denote the stabilizer of Ω in Λ, so in particular Λ_Φ is the stabilizer of Φ itself, and $\Lambda_\emptyset = \Lambda$. For $i = -1, 0, \ldots, n$ define the subgroup

$$R_i := \Lambda_{\Phi\setminus\{F_i\}} = \langle \varphi \in \Lambda \mid F_j\varphi = F_j \text{ for all } j \neq i \rangle.$$

Then $R_{-1} = R_n = \Lambda_\Phi \leq R_i$ for each $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, and $|R_i : R_{-1}| - 1$ is the number of flags i-adjacent to Φ. Moreover,

$$R_i \cdot R_j = R_j \cdot R_i \qquad (-1 \leq i < j - 1 \leq n - 1), \tag{1}$$

as products of subgroups.

The subgroup Λ is generated by the *distinguished generating subgroups* $R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1}$, that is,

$$\Lambda = \langle R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1} \rangle.$$

In fact, a stronger statement holds. When $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{-1, 0, \ldots, n\}$ set $\Lambda_I := \langle R_i \mid i \in I \rangle$; and when $I = \emptyset$ set $\Lambda_I := R_{-1} = \Lambda_\Phi$. Note that $\Lambda_I = \Lambda_{I\setminus\{-1,n\}}$ for each subset I. Then, for each $\Omega \subseteq \Phi$,

$$\Lambda_\Omega = \langle R_i \mid F_i \notin \Omega \rangle = \Lambda_{\{i \mid F_i \notin \Omega\}}.$$

Here both sides coincide with Λ_Φ if $\Omega = \Phi$. In addition, the following *intersection property* holds:

$$\Lambda_I \cap \Lambda_J = \Lambda_{I \cap J} \qquad (I, J \subseteq \{-1, 0, \ldots, n\}). \tag{2}$$

The partial order on $\mathcal{K}$ can be completely described in terms of the subgroups $R_{-1}, R_0, \ldots, R_n$ of Λ. In fact,

$$F_i\varphi \leq F_j\psi \;\leftrightarrow\; \psi^{-1}\varphi \in \Lambda_{\{i+1,\ldots,n\}}\Lambda_{\{-1,0,\ldots,j-1\}} \quad (-1 \leq i \leq j \leq n;\, \varphi, \psi \in \Lambda),$$

or equivalently,

$$F_i\varphi \leq F_j\psi \;\leftrightarrow\; \Lambda_{\{-1,0,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{i\}}\varphi \cap \Lambda_{\{-1,0,\ldots,n\}\setminus\{j\}}\psi \neq \emptyset \quad (-1 \leq i \leq j \leq n;\, \varphi, \psi \in \Lambda).$$

Conversely, if a group Λ has a system of generating subgroups $R_{-1}, R_0, \ldots, R_n$ with properties (1) and (2), then Λ is a flag-transitive subgroup of the full automorphism group of a regular incidence complex $\mathcal{K}$ of rank n (see [13]).

For abstract regular polytopes, the groups $R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ are generated by involutions $\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}$, where ρ_i maps the base flag to its unique i-adjacent flag (see [10, Ch. 2]). Also, Λ_Φ is trivial in this case.

5 Rank 3

The regular polygonal complexes of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ have been completely enumerated. They comprise fourty-eight regular polyhedra and twenty-five regular complexes which are not polyhedra.

The regular polyhedra in $\mathbb{E}^3$ were discovered by Grünbaum [6] and Dress [4, 5] and are sometimes referred to as the Grünbaum-Dress polyhedra. For a quicker method of arriving at the classification see also McMullen & Schulte [9] and [10, Ch. 7E]. The regular polyhedra include many well-known figures such as the Platonic solids, the Kepler-Poinsot polyhedra and the Petrie-Coxeter polyhedra (see [1, 2]).

The regular polygonal complexes in $\mathbb{E}^3$ which are not polyhedra were enumerated in Pellicer & Schulte [11, 12]. The flag-stabilizers of their symmetry groups are either trivial or have order 2, and the methods of enumeration in these two cases are quite different.

There are four regular polygonal complexes with a non-trivial flag-stabilizer, and each is the 2-skeleton of a regular 4-apeirotope in $\mathbb{E}^3$. The eight regular 4-apeirotopes in $\mathbb{E}^3$ fall into four pairs of Petrie-duals, and the apeirotopes in each pair have the same 2-skeleton (see [10, Ch. 7F]). Thus each regular polygonal complex with a non-trivial flag-stabilizer is the common 2-skeleton of two regular 4-apeirotopes.

There are also twenty-one regular polygonal complexes with a trivial flag-stabilizers, which are not polyhedra; accordingly, these complexes are referred to as the simply flag-transitive regular polygonal complexes.

6 Higher ranks

In this section we bound the rank of a regular polygonal complex in $\mathbb{E}^3$ by 4, and show that the eight regular 4-apeirotopes mentioned earlier are the only examples in rank 4.

Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a regular polygonal complex of rank $n \geq 4$. Then its geometric symmetry group $G(\mathcal{K})$ is a flag-transitive subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ to which the above structure results for flag-transitive subgroups of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ apply with $\Lambda = G(\mathcal{K})$. In particular, $G(\mathcal{K}) = \langle R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1} \rangle$, where $R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ are the distinguished generating subgroups of $G(\mathcal{K})$ defined with respect to a base flag $\Phi = \{F_0, \ldots, F_{n-1}\}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is a polygonal complex, the base 2-face F_2 is a regular polygon in $\mathbb{E}^3$, planar or non-planar.

Now each of the subgroups $R_3, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ consists of isometries stabilizing F_0, F_1, F_2 and hence acting trivially (pointwise) on the entire affine hull of the polygon F_2. This forces F_2 to be planar. In fact, otherwise the subgroups $R_3, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ would have to be trivial; but this is impossible since $n \geq 4$. On the other hand, if F_2 is planar, then a nontrivial element from $R_3, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ could only be the euclidean reflection, ρ_3 (say), in the affine hull of F_2. In this case we can immediately exclude the possibility that $n > 4$; in fact, if $n > 4$ then necessarily $R_3 = R_4 = \langle \rho_3 \rangle$, which is impossible. This then only leaves the possibility that $n = 4$, the face F_2 is planar, $R_3 = \langle \rho_3 \rangle$, and there are just two facets of $\mathcal{K}$ meeting at F_2.

Now suppose that $n = 4$ and that F_2 and ρ_3 are as described. Then the 2-skeleton $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is a regular polygonal complex of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ whose symmetry group contains $G(\mathcal{K})$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ has *face mirrors*, meaning that $\mathcal{L}$ has planar 2-faces and that the affine hulls of the 2-faces are mirrors of plane symmetries of $\mathcal{L}$. In fact, ρ_3 is a reflective symmetry of $\mathcal{L}$ in the affine hull of the 2-face F_2 of $\mathcal{L}$, and its conjugates under $G(\mathcal{K})$ (or $G(\mathcal{L})$) provide all reflective symmetries in affine hulls of 2-faces of $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ is not simply flag-transitive, since ρ_3 stabilizes the flag $\{F_0, F_1, F_2\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$.

We now appeal to the classification in [11, 12] of the regular polygonal complexes of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$. In fact, it was shown in [11] that a regular polygonal 3-complex with face mirrors in $\mathbb{E}^3$ is necessarily the 2-skeleton of a regular 4-apeirotope $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathbb{E}^3$ with the same symmetry group, and that the fourth distinguished generator of the symmetry group $G(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ is given by the reflection in the planar base 2-face. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is the 2-skeleton of a regular 4-apeirotope $\mathcal{P}$ with $G(\mathcal{P}) = G(\mathcal{L})$. It is also known that the number of 2-faces, r, on an edge of $\mathcal{P}$ and thus of $\mathcal{L}$ must be 3 or 4.

Now the facets of $\mathcal{K}$ are also regular polygonal complexes of rank 3 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ and have their 2-faces among the 2-faces of $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, the basic facet F_3 of $\mathcal{K}$ gives rise to the complex $\mathcal{K}_3 := F_3/F_{-1}$ whose full symmetry group $G(\mathcal{K}_3)$ contains the stabilizer $\langle R_0, R_1, R_2 \rangle$ of F_3 in $G(\mathcal{K})$ as a flag-transitive subgroup. We must show that $\mathcal{K}_3$ is a polyhedron. Then, since there are just two facets of $\mathcal{K}$ meeting at F_2, the complex $\mathcal{K}$ itself would have to be a 4-apeirotope.

Since $\mathcal{K}_3$ is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{L}$, the number of 2-faces, r_3, on an edge of $\mathcal{K}_3$ must be 2, 3 or 4. We wish to show that $r_3 = 2$. Clearly, we cannot have $r_3 = r$ since $\mathcal{L}$ is connected. In fact, otherwise, every 2-face of $\mathcal{K}$ containing an edge of $\mathcal{K}_3$ would also have to be a 2-face of $\mathcal{K}_3$; but then connectedness would force all 2-faces of $\mathcal{K}$ to be 2-faces of $\mathcal{K}_3$, which is impossible. Hence it remains to exclude the case when $r = 4$ and $r_3 = 3$. Now suppose $r = 4$ and $r_3 = 3$. Then we know from [11] that the pointwise stabilizer of the base edge F_1 of $\mathcal{K}_3$ in $G(\mathcal{K}_3)$ is a cyclic group C_3 or a dihedral group D_3. Either way, the affine hulls of the (planar) faces of $\mathcal{K}_3$ meeting at F_1 must be inclined at 120°. On the other hand, the affine hulls of the four 2-faces of $\mathcal{P}$ (or $\mathcal{K}$ or $\mathcal{L}$) meeting at F_1 either coincide (when the faces are opposite relative to F_1) or are inclined at 90°. In any case, the two scenarios are incompatible and rule out the possibility that $r = 4$ and $r_3 = 3$. Thus $r_3 = 2$.

At this point we know that both $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are regular 4-apeirotopes with a common

2-skeleton. In particular, $\mathcal{K}$ must be among the eight regular 4-apeirotopes in $\mathbb{E}^3$. Another appeal to [11] then shows that $\mathcal{K}$ must in fact coincide with either $\mathcal{P}$ itself or with the Petrie-dual of $\mathcal{P}$.

In summary we have established the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There are no regular geometric polygonal complex in $\mathbb{E}^3$ of rank $n \geq 5$. The only regular geometric polygonal complexes of rank 4 in $\mathbb{E}^3$ are the eight regular 4-apeirotopes in $\mathbb{E}^3$.*

References

[1] H.S.M.Coxeter. *Regular Polytopes* (3rd edition), Dover.

[2] H.S.M.Coxeter. *Regular skew polyhedra in 3 and 4 dimensions and their topological analogues*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1937), 33–62. (Reprinted with amendments in *Twelve Geometric Essays*, Southern Illinois University Press (Carbondale, 1968), 76–105.)

[3] L.Danzer, E.Schulte. *Reguläre Inzidenzkomplexe, I*, Geom. Dedicata **13** (1982), 295–308.

[4] A.W.M.Dress. *A combinatorial theory of Grünbaum's new regular polyhedra, I: Grünbaum's new regular polyhedra and their automorphism group*, Aequationes Math. **23** (1981), 252–265.

[5] A.W.M.Dress. *A combinatorial theory of Grünbaum's new regular polyhedra, II: complete enumeration*, Aequationes Math. **29** (1985), 222–243.

[6] B.Grünbaum. *Regular polyhedra — old and new*, Aequat. Math. **16** (1977), 1–20.

[7] P.McMullen. *Realizations of regular polytopes*, Aequationes Math. **37** (1989), 38–56.

[8] P.McMullen. *Geometric regular polytopes*, monograph in preparation.

[9] P.McMullen, E. Schulte. *Regular polytopes in ordinary space*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **17** (1997), 449–478.

[10] P.McMullen, E. Schulte, *Abstract regular polytopes*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002.

[11] D.Pellicer, E.Schulte. *Regular polygonal complexes in space, I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 6679–6714.

[12] D.Pellicer, E.Schulte. *Regular polygonal complexes in space, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 2031–2061.

[13] E.Schulte. *Reguläre Inzidenzkomplexe, II*, Geom. Dedicata **14** (1983), 33–56.